	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
2	ATLANTA DIVISION
3	
4	DONNA CURLING, et al.,
5	Plaintiffs,
	CIVIL ACTION FILE
6	vs.
	NO. 1:17-cv-2989-AT
7	BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, et al.,
8	Defendants.
9	
10	DEPOSITION OF
11	J. ALEX HALDERMAN, Ph.D.
12	November 17, 2021
13	8:03 a.m.
14	TAKEN BY REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCE
15	Robyn Bosworth, RPR, CRR, CRC, CCR-B-2138
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## Case 1:17-cv-02989-AT Document 1570-7 Filed 01/09/23 Page 2 of 12 J. Alex Halderman, Ph.D. November 17, 2021

## Curling, Donna v. Raffensperger, Brad

		Page 2	
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION PAG	E
3	Exhibit 1	Notice of Deposition 4	0
4	Exhibit 2	Expert Report of Dr. Halderman 4	2
5	Exhibit 3	"Scientists say no credible 6	0
6		evidence of computer fraud in	
7		the 2020 election outcome, but	
8		policymakers must work with	
9		experts to improve confidence"	
10	Exhibit 4	Expert rebuttal of Dr. 19	8
11		Halderman	
12	Exhibit 5	Declaration of Dr. Halderman 20	8
13		in Coomer case	
14	Exhibit 6	"Want to Know if the Election 22	7
15		was Hacked? Look at the	
16		Ballots"	
17	Exhibit 7	"Computer scientists urge 23	6
18		Clinton campaign to challenge	
19		election results"	
20	Exhibit 8	November 20, 2021 tweet from 23	7
21		Dr. Halderman	
22	Exhibit 9	"Citing `reported hacks,' Jill 24	1
23		Stein says she'll file for	
24		recounts in three states"	
25	Exhibit 10	0 "Analysis of the Antrim 24	3

Veritext Legal Solutions

## Case 1:17-cv-02989-AT Document 1570-7 Filed 01/09/23 Page 3 of 12 J. Alex Halderman, Ph.D. November 17, 2021

## Curling, Donna v. Raffensperger, Brad

			Page 3
1		County, Michigan November 202	0
2		Election Incident"	
3	Exhibit 11	"Will Georgia's new voting	251
4		machines solve election	
5		problems — or make them	
6		worse?"	
7	Exhibit 12	Tweet from Michigan	257
8		Engineering	
9			
10			
11		INDEX TO EXAMINATION	PAGE
12	By Mr. Tyson		6
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	1		

Veritext Legal Solutions

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	(All appearances via Zoom)
3	On behalf of the Curling Plaintiffs:
4	ZACHARY FUCHS, ESQUIRE
5	Morrison & Foerster, LLP
6	2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
7	Washington, DC 20006
8	Zfuchs@mofo.com
9	
10	On behalf of Defendant Secretary of State Brad
11	Raffensperger:
12	BRYAN TYSON, ESQUIRE
13	Taylor English Duma LLP
14	1600 Parkwood Circle, Suite 200
15	Atlanta, Georgia 30339
16	Btyson@taylorenglish.com
17	-and-
18	CAREY MILLER, ESQUIRE
19	Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield
20	500 14th Street, N.W.
21	Atlanta, Georgia 30318
22	cmiller@robbinsfirm.com
23	
24	
25	

Page 5 APPEARANCES (Cont'd) 1 2 On behalf of Defendant Fulton County: 3 DAVID LOWMAN, ESQ. Fulton County Attorney's Office 4 5 141 Pryor Street, Suite 4038 Atlanta, Georgia 30303 6 7 david.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov 8 9 Also Present: 10 Marilyn Marks Kevin Skoglund 1 1 12 Duncan Buell 13 Philip B. Stark 14 Reema Shocair Ali 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 30 between retail and electronic -- a broader 1 2. electronic fraud, though, you'd agree that fraud 3 perpetuated at a single BMD is more like hand-marked paper ballot retail fraud, wouldn't you? 4 Again, I don't think they're -- I don't 5 think they're directly comparable because even a 6 7 single BMD might process a thousand ballots or more 8 during a given day, and with the same amount of 9 attacker effort, it would be quite a lot of work to 10 change the physical loads on a thousand pieces of 11 paper. 12 So, Dr. Halderman, I know that you've 13 developed malware that affects DREs. We talked about that earlier in the case. You developed 14 15 malware that affects BMDs, like the Dominion ICX 16 system. 17 Have you ever developed any malware that would alter votes on both a DRE, like the AccuVote 18 19 system previously used in Georgia, and Dominion ICX 20 units? 21 Α What do you mean? The same piece of 2.2 malware? 23 Yes, whether the same piece -- have you 0 ever developed the same piece of malware that would 24 alter votes both on an AccuVote DRE and on a

2.5

Page 31 Dominion ICX? 1 2. Α I'm -- no. 3 You had access to both types of equipment, 0 though, correct? 4 5 They're two different platforms. like developing an app that would work on Android 6 7 and on the iPhone. It could be done presumably, but it would be -- you would normally have two different 8 9 apps that did the same thing. And you have never personally developed a 10 11 single app that would run on both the TSX platform 12 in the DREs and the ICX Dominion platform, right? 13 А Oh, I see. I think I see what you mean. 14 So, no, I've developed malware that would run on 15 each of them that could be presumably packaged 16 together by an attacker who wanted to commit fraud 17 on both, but I haven't packaged a -- different 18 versions together. 19 Have you ever developed any malware that 20 would function to alter votes on both the GEMS system, that's G-E-M-S, and the Dominion EMS system, 21 2.2 the same piece of malware? 23 No, but the same caveat that a program Α 24 that works on one and a program that works on the 2.5 other could be composed. Programs compose

Curling, Donna v. Raffensperger, Brad

	Page 32
1	naturally. That's one of the sort of founding
2	foundational principles of computer software.
3	Q Would you need to know the platform on
4	which the Dominion ICX was running to be able to
5	package a piece of malware as you're describing it?
б	A Yes, you'd need to know some things about
7	the ICX.
8	Q And so if someone developed malware in,
9	say, 2005 or 2006 for a DRE, would someone be able
10	to also design that same piece of malware to
11	function on a Dominion ICX 15 years into the future?
12	A Maybe not on a Dominion well, so the
13	so no, but the attacker the way that attackers
14	more frequently work on infecting a first system is
15	to give themselves some way to more easily get back
16	in if they need to later continue the attack or make
17	changes or updates.
18	So malware introduced at an earlier point
19	to equipment or an EMS would likely facilitate an
20	ongoing intrusion.
21	Q But that would require a different piece
22	of software; is that a fair characterization?
23	A Depending on the attacker's objectives, it
24	might.
25	Q But you personally have not developed

Veritext Legal Solutions 770.343.9696

	Page 33
1	something that works in both the GEMS system and the
2	Dominion EMS, correct?
3	A Well, they're both Windows systems, so it
4	would not be malware that ran on the GEMS system
5	very easily could continue to run on the Dominion
6	EMS system.
7	Q And, again, my question was more specific.
8	Have you personally developed such
9	software?
10	A Oh, excuse me. No.
11	Q Now, Dr. Halderman, you've had access to
12	some AccuVote TSXs that were actually used in
13	Georgia elections, at least images of their internal
14	memory, correct?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q Have you found any evidence of malware
17	installed on those DRE images that you've reviewed?
18	A I haven't. It's difficult to completely
19	rule out because of the nature of malware; and,
20	moreover, I believe I've seen images of on the
21	order of 10 DREs out of the tens of thousands that
22	were used in Georgia. So, unfortunately, even if
23	there was a widespread infection, I would have only
24	had a small probability of detecting it by
25	inspecting the images I was provided.

Curling, Donna v. Raffensperger, Brad

	Page 34
1	Q But to this point, you found no evidence
2	of malware in the images that you've reviewed,
3	right?
4	A With those caveats, yes.
5	Q Now, it's correct to say that you haven't
6	conducted any review of the and I will say review
7	any sort of technical forensic review of the eNet
8	voter registration system, correct?
9	A Any forensic review? Well, I have not
10	conducted a forensic review. I've only been able to
11	confirm the vulnerabilities that were reported
12	publicly in 2018.
13	Q And how did you confirm those
14	vulnerabilities?
15	A Those were ones that were visible from
16	outside the system.
17	Q And are you aware whether those
18	vulnerabilities related to the eNet system itself
19	or to some other component of the election system?
20	A I believe they're related to the
21	public-facing voter registration and My Voter
22	Georgia pages, which are a component of the voter
23	registration system.
24	Q So it's your testimony that the My Voter
25	page and the online voter registration pages that

Page 35

are publicly available are components of the State's voter registration database eNet?

A They're components of the voter registration system. I'm not sure where the manufacturer defines the orders of its brand.

Q You've also had available to you hundreds of GEMS databases post election and pre election from Georgia elections, correct?

A That's right.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Q And have you found any evidence of malware in any of those GEMS databases that you've reviewed?

A I haven't found evidence of malware, again with the caveat that inspection is not a reliable way of ruling out the presence of malware. It can sometimes detect it, but it can't rule it out.

Instead what the databases reveal is a pattern of procedural inconsistencies and so forth from jurisdiction to jurisdiction that raise security questions to me but are not indicative by themselves of malware.

Q And I want to ask you about a statement you just made, "the inspection is not a reliable way of detecting malware."

Is that because malware can sometimes mask its existence in a particular platform?

	Page 265
1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF GEORGIA:
_	COUNTY OF FULTON:
3	
4	I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript
	was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the
5	colloquies, questions and answers were reduced to
	typewriting under my direction; that the transcript
6	is a true and correct record of the evidence given
	upon said proceeding.
7	I further certify that I am not a relative or
	employee or attorney of any party, nor am I
8	financially interested in the outcome of this
	action.
9	I have no relationship of interest in this
	matter which would disqualify me from maintaining my
10	obligation of impartiality in compliance with the
	Code of Professional Ethics.
11	I have no direct contract with any party in
	this action and my compensation is based solely on
12	the terms of my subcontractor agreement.
	Nothing in the arrangements made for this
13	proceeding impacts my absolute commitment to serve
	all parties as an impartial officer of the court.
14	
15	This the 3rd day of December, 2021.
16	<i>O</i> A
17	Kotra R. my
18	Je Basarian
19	ROBYN BOSWORTH, RPR, CRR, CRC, CCR-B-2138
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25